Statement

Year-wise and State-wise number of Sugar samples drawn from sugar factories during the last four calendar years, i. e. from 1982 to 1985

S.No.	State	Number of sugar samples drawn			
		1982	1983	1984	1985
1	Uttar Pradesh	1836	2374	2240	1412
2	Bihar	659	875	615	415
3	West Bengal	8	21	3	14
4	Assam	..	..	17	8
5	Haryana	54	118	68	55
6	Punjab	80	137	60	170
7	Rajasthan	43	88	80	5
8	Madhya Pradesh	101	165	156	37
9	Orissa	21	32	56	33
10	Maharashtra	1592	2549	1609	1040
11	Andhra Pradesh	430	497	403	325
12	Gujarat	226	328	258	68
13	Karnataka	643	1036	522	446
14	Tamil Nadu	711	700	385	408
15	Kerala	27	26	11	12
16	Pondicherry	26	30	18	31
17	Nagaland	..	..	17	14
18	Goa	6	12	6	2
	TOTAL	6463	8988	6524	4495

Violation of 20 per cent sale order of 1979 by Sugar factories

1155. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of sugar factories which were found violating 20 per cent sale order of 1979 during the last four years, year-wise and State-wise;

(b) what action has ben taken by Government in each such cases; and

(c) what is the number of cases, if any field in the courts, State-wise and the number of sugar factories which were finally convicted?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI AIIT PANIA): (a) and (b) Order dated 28th June, 1979 *.inter-alia* provided for the si:Ie and despatch of minimum 20 per cent of the monthly free sale quota released to a factory on weekly basis. This order was superseded by the Order dated 9th May, 1980 which besides retaining the aforesaid provisions relating to minimum weekly 20 per cent sale and despatch of free sale sugar,

also provided that the entire' quantity released for free sale against the monthly release order should be despatched fia full within the period specified therein and that the particulars of sale and despatch should also be intimated by the sugar tactories to the concerned Stale Governments.

For the violations committed by the sugar factories by lapsing quantities against free sale release order issued for June, 1979 prosecutions were initially launched on a selective basis against major defaul ters through the Central Bureau of Investigation in 1980. One of the sugar factories filed a petition in the Bombay High Court challenging the issuance of process by the Court of Judicial Magis trate. In view of the Bombay High, Court judgement dated 16-11-1981 given in this case on criminal application No. 1472 of 1980, the prosecutions against the factories committing violations of the provisions of the order dated 9th May, 1980 could not be launched. Special Leave Petition against the said judge ment of Bombay High Court has already been filed in the Supreme Court and the matter is *subjudice.*

(c) Doe$_s$ not arise.

Implementation of sugar policy for 1985-86 Season

1156. DR. SHANTI G. PATEL; Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether the sugar policy for 1985-86 season announced in November, 1985 was changed to 55:45 from the earlier ratio of 65:35;

(b) whether this ratio has been faithfully implemented;

(c) whether it is a fact that in actual practice the ratio of levy sugar release to free sale-sugar has been lower than the stipulated 65 pe$_r$ cent;

(d) if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(e) what steps have been taken by Gov-ernment to fully implement the new sugar policy of 1985-86?

THE MINISTRY OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRL AJIT PANJA); (a) Yes, Sir.

.(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The percentage of levy sugar as applicable to the production during the . 1985-86 season is 55 and not 65. The prescribed ratio is ensured with reference to the .release out of the total production during the season. This cannot be ensured on month to month basis as the quantum of monthly *b-cc* sale quota de-pends on the sugar _production trends, availability, demand, price trends, etc.

(e)In view of the above, question does not arise.

Irregularities committed by certain firms of Bombay

1157. SHRI M. S. GLRUPAD-SWAMY-

DR. SHANTI G. PATEL;

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state;

(a) whether it i$_s$ a fact that some companies belonging to one family viz. M|s. Steel Industries of India; Mis. Sai Overseas Imports and Exports and R. L. Metal Corporation have cheated Government in the matter of payment of customs • duty;

(b) whether a complaint wa$_s$ filed with the Deputy Collector of Customs, Special Investigation and Information Branch, - Bombay j$_n$ this regard; and

(c) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (u) and (b) No complaint regarding cheating or evasion of duty has recently been, filed with Deputy Collector of Customs, Special Investigation and Intelligence Branch, Bombay against any of thes$_e$ three firms.

(c) In view of (a) and (b), doe^ not arise.